arXiv:1611.08423v1 [math.CA] 25 Nov 2016

INEQUALITIES OF EXTENDED BETA AND EXTENDED HYPERGEOMETRIC FUNCTIONS

SAIFUL R. MONDAL

Abstract. This paper studies the log-convexity of the extended beta functions. As a consequence, Turán-type inequalities are established.The monotonicity, log-convexity, log-concavity of extended hypergeometric functions are deduced by using the inequalities on extended beta functions,. The particular cases of those results also gives the Turán-type inequalities for extended confluent and extended Gaussian hypergeometric functions. Some reverse of Turán-type inequalities also derived.

1. Introduction

For $\mathrm{Re}(x) > 0$, $\mathrm{Re}(y) > 0$, $\mathrm{Re}(\sigma) > 0$, define the functions

$$\mathtt{B}_\sigma(x,y) := \int_0^1 t^{x-1}(1-t)^{y-1} exp\left(-\tfrac{\sigma}{t(1-t)}\right) dt. \tag{1.1}$$

The function $\mathtt{B}_\sigma$ is known as the extended beta functions which was introduced by Chaudhry et al. [8]. They discussed several properties of this extended beta functions and also established connection with the Macdonald, error and Whittaker functions (also see [14]).

Later, using this extended beta functions, an extended confluent hypergeometric functions(ECHF) can be seen in the article by Chaudhry et al. [9] . The series representation of the extended confluent hypergeometric functions is

$$\Phi_\sigma(b;c;x) := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{B_\sigma(b+n,c+n)}{B(b,c-b)} \frac{x^n}{n!}, \tag{1.2}$$

where $\sigma \geq 0$, $\mathrm{Re}(c) > \mathrm{Re}(b) > 0$. For $\sigma > 0$, the series converges for all x provided $c \neq 0, -1, -2, \ldots$.

The ECHF can also have the integral representration as

$$\Phi_\sigma(b;c;x) := \frac{1}{B(b,c-b)} \int_0^1 t^{b-1}(1-t)^{c-b-1} exp\left(xt - \tfrac{\sigma}{t(1-t)}\right) dt. \tag{1.3}$$

Similarly, the extended Gaussian hypergometric functions (EGHF) can be difined by

$$F_\sigma(a,b;c;x) := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{B_\sigma(b+n,c-b)}{B(b,c-b)} \frac{(a)_n}{n!} x^n \tag{1.4}$$

where $\sigma \geq 0$, $\mathrm{Re}(c) > \mathrm{Re}(b) > 0$ and $|x| < 1$. For $\sigma > 0$, the series converges when $|x| < 1$ and $c \neq 0, -1, -2, \ldots$.

The EGHF also have the integral form

$$F_\sigma(a,b;c;x) := \frac{1}{B(b,c-b)}\int_0^1 t^{b-1}(1-t)^{c-b-1}(1-xt)^{-a}exp\left(-\tfrac{\sigma}{t(1-t)}\right)dt. \tag{1.5}$$

Note that for $p=0$, the series (1.2) and (1.4) respectively reduces to the classical confluent hypergeometric series and the Gaussian hypergeometric series.

The aim of this article is to study the log-convexity and log-convexity of the above three extended functions. In particular, we will give more emphasis on the Turán type inequality [15] and it's reverse form.

The work here is motivated from the resent works, like as [2–6, 11], of many researcher in this direction and references their in. Inequalities related to beta functions with the significance in this study can be found in [1, 10].

In Section 2.1, we state and prove several inequalities for extended Beta functions. The classical Chebyshev's integral inequality and the Hölder-Rogers inequality for integrals are used to obtain the main results in this section. The results in the Section 2.1 are very much useful to generate inequalities for ECHF and EGHF, especially the Turán type inequality in Section 2.2. The log-convexity and log-convexity of ECHF and EGHF are also given in Section 2.2.

2. Results and Discussion

2.1. **Inequalities for extended beta functions.** In this section, applying classical integral inequalities like as Chebychevs inequality for synchronous and asynchronous mappings, Hölder-Rogers inequality, we will derive several inequalities for extended beta functions. Few inequalities are useful in sequel to derive the Turán type inequalities for EGHF and ECHF.

Theorem 2.1. *Let $x,y,x_1,y_1>0$ such that $(x-x_1)(y-y_1)\geq 0$, then*

$$B_\sigma(x,y_1)B_\sigma(x_1,y)\leq B_\sigma(x_1,y_1)B_\sigma(x,y), \tag{2.1}$$

for all $\sigma\geq 0$.

Proof. To prove the result we need to recall the classical Chebyshev's integral inequality [12, p. 40] : If $f,g:[a,b]\to\mathbb{R}$ are synchronous (both increase or both decrease) integrable functions, and $p:[a,b]\to\mathbb{R}$ is a positive integrable function, then

$$\int_a^b p(t)f(t)dt\int_a^b p(t)g(t)dt\leq\int_a^b p(t)dt\int_a^b p(t)f(t)g(t)dt. \tag{2.2}$$

The inequality (2.2) is reversed if f and g are asynchronous.

Consider the functions $f(t):=t^{x-x_1}$, $g(t):=t^{y-y_1}$ and

$$p(t):=t^{x_1-1}(1-t)^{y_1-1}exp\left(-\frac{\sigma}{(t(1-t)}\right).$$

Clearly, p is non-negative on $[0,1]$. Since $(x-x_1)(y-y_1)\geq 0$, it follows that $f'(t)=(x-x_1)t^{x-x_1-1}$, $g'(t)=(y-y_1)t^{y-y_1-1}$ have the same monotonicity on $[0,1]$.

Applying Chebyshev's integral inequality (2.2), for the above selected f, g and p, we have

$$\left(\int_0^1 t^{x-1}(1-t)^{y_1-1}exp\left(-\frac{\sigma}{(t(1-t)}\right)dt\right)\left(\int_a^b t^{x_1-1}(1-t)^{y-1}exp\left(-\frac{\sigma}{(t(1-t)}\right)dt\right)$$
$$\leq \left(\int_a^b t^{x_1-1}(1-t)^{y_1-1}exp\left(-\frac{\sigma}{(t(1-t)}\right)dt\right)\left(\int_a^b t^{x-1}(1-t)^{y-1}exp\left(-\frac{\sigma}{(t(1-t)}\right)dt\right),$$

which is equivalent to (2.1). □

Theorem 2.2. *The function $\sigma \mapsto B_\sigma(x,y)$ is log-convex on $(0,\infty)$ for each fixed $x,y>0$. In particular,*

(i) *The functions $B_\sigma(x,y)$ satisfy the Turán type inequality*

$$B_\sigma^2(x,y) - B_{\sigma+a}(x,y)B_{\sigma-a}(x,y) \leq 0,$$

for all real a. This will further reduce to $B_\sigma^2(x,y) \leq B(x,y)B_{2\sigma}(x,y)$ when $\sigma = a$. Here $B(x,y) = B_0(x,y)$ is the well-known classical beta function.

(ii) *The function $\sigma \mapsto B_\sigma(x-1,y-1)/B_\sigma(x,y)$ is decreasing on $(0,\infty)$ for fixed $x,y>0$.*

Proof. From the definition of log-convexity, it is required to prove that

$$B_{\alpha\sigma_1+(1-\alpha)\sigma_2}(x,y) \leq (B_{\sigma_1}(x,y))^\alpha (B_{\sigma_2}(x,y))^{1-\alpha}, \tag{2.3}$$

for $\alpha \in [0,1]$, $\sigma_1,\sigma_2>0$ and fixed $x,y>0$.

Clearly, (2.3) is trivially true for $\alpha = 0$ and $\alpha = 1$.

Let $\alpha \in (0,1)$. It follows from (1.1) that

$$\begin{aligned} B_{\alpha\sigma_1+(1-\alpha)\sigma_2}(x,y) &= \int_0^1 t^{x-1}(1-t)^{y-1}exp\left(-\frac{\alpha\sigma_1+(1-\alpha)\sigma_2}{t(1-t)}\right)dt \\ &= \int_0^1 \left(t^{x-1}(1-t)^{y-1}exp\left(-\frac{\sigma_1}{t(1-t)}\right)dt\right)^\alpha \\ &\quad \times \int_0^1 \left(t^{x-1}(1-t)^{y-1}exp\left(\frac{-\sigma_2}{t(1-t)}\right)dt\right)^{1-\alpha}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.4}$$

Let $p = 1/\alpha$ and $q = 1/(1-\alpha)$. Clearly $p>1$ and $p+q = pq$. Thus, applying the well-known Hölder-Rogers inequality for integrals, (2.4) yields

$$\begin{aligned} B_{\alpha\sigma_1+(1-\alpha)\sigma_2}(x,y) &< \left(\int_0^1 t^{x-1}(1-t)^{y-1}exp\left(-\frac{\sigma_1}{t(1-t)}\right)dt\right)^\alpha \\ &\quad \times \left(\int_0^1 t^{x-1}(1-t)^{y-1}exp\left(-\frac{\sigma_2}{t(1-t)}\right)dt\right)^{1-\alpha} \\ &= (B_{\sigma_1}(x,y))^\alpha (B_{\sigma_2}(x,y))^{1-\alpha}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.5}$$

This implies $\sigma \mapsto B_\sigma(x,y)$ is log-convex.

Choosing $\alpha = 1/2$, $\sigma_1 = \sigma - a$ and $\sigma_2 = \sigma + a$, the inequality (2.5) gives

$$B_\sigma^2(x,y) - B_{\sigma+a}(x,y)B_{\sigma-a}(x,y) \leq 0.$$

The log-convexity of $B_\sigma(x,y)$ is equivalent to

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial\sigma}\left(\frac{\frac{\partial}{\partial\sigma}B_\sigma(x,y)}{B_\sigma(x,y)}\right)\geq 0. \tag{2.6}$$

Now the identity [8, Page 22]

$$\frac{\partial^n}{\partial\sigma^n}B_\sigma(x,y)=(-1)^nB_\sigma(x-n,y-n);\quad n=0,1,2,\cdots,$$

reduces (2.6) to

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial\sigma}\left(\frac{B_\sigma(x-1,y-1)}{B_\sigma(x,y)}\right)\leq 0.$$

Hence the conclusion. □

Theorem 2.3. *The function $(x,y)\mapsto B_\sigma(x,y)$ is logarithmic convex on $(0,\infty)\times(0,\infty)$, for all $\sigma\geq 0$. In particular,*

$$B_\sigma^2\left(\frac{x_1+x_2}{2},\frac{y_1+y_2}{2}\right)\leq B_\sigma\left(x_1,y_1\right)B_\sigma\left(x_2,y_2\right).$$

Proof. Let $\alpha_1,\alpha_2>0$ such that $\alpha_1+\alpha_2=1$. Then for $\sigma\geq 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&B_\sigma(\alpha_1(x_1,y_1)+\alpha_2(x_2,y_2))\\
&=\int_0^1 t^{\alpha_1x_1+\alpha_2x_2-1}(1-t)^{\alpha_1y_1+\alpha_2y_2-1}exp\left(-\frac{\sigma}{t(1-t)}\right)dt\\
&=\int_0^1\left(t^{x_1-1}(1-t)^{y_1-1}exp\left(-\frac{\sigma}{t(1-t)}\right)\right)^{\alpha_1}\left(t^{x_2-1}(1-t)^{y_2-1}exp\left(-\frac{\sigma}{t(1-t)}\right)\right)^{\alpha_2}dt
\end{aligned}$$

Again by considering $p=1/\alpha_1$, $q=1/\alpha_2$, we can use the Hölder-Rogers inequality for integrals and it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
B_\sigma(\alpha_1(x_1,y_1)+\alpha_2(x_2,y_2))&\leq\left(\int_0^1 t^{x_1-1}(1-t)^{y_1-1}exp\left(-\frac{\sigma}{t(1-t)}\right)dt\right)^{\alpha_1}\\
&\quad\times\left(\int_0^1 t^{x_2-1}(1-t)^{y_2-1}exp\left(-\frac{\sigma}{t(1-t)}\right)dt\right)^{\alpha_2}\\
&=B_\sigma(x_1,y_1)^{\alpha_1}B_\sigma(x_2,y_2)^{\alpha_2}.
\end{aligned}$$

For $\alpha_1=\alpha_2=1/2$, the above inequality reduces to

$$B_\sigma^2\left(\frac{x_1+x_2}{2},\frac{y_1+y_2}{2}\right)\leq B_\sigma\left(x_1,y_1\right)B_\sigma\left(x_2,y_2\right). \tag{2.7}$$

Let $x,y>0$ such that $\min_{a\in\mathbb{R}}(x+a,x-a)>0$, then $x_1=x+a$, $x_2=x-a$ and $y_1=y+b$, $y_2=y-b$ in (2.7) yields

$$[B_\sigma(x,y)]^2\leq B_\sigma(x+a,y+b)B_\sigma(x-a,y-b), \tag{2.8}$$

for all $\sigma\geq 0$. □

The Grüss' inequality [13, Page 295-310] for the integrals given in the following lemma.

Lemma 2.1. *Let f and g be two integrable functions on $[a,b]$. If*

$$m \leq f(t) \leq M \quad and \quad l \leq g(t) \leq L, \quad for\ each \quad t \in [a,b];$$

where m, M, l, L are given real constant . Then

$$|D(f,g;h)| \leq D(f,f;h)^{1/2} D(g,g;h)^{1/2} \leq \frac{1}{4}(M-m)(L-l)\left[\int_a^b h(t)dt\right]^2, \tag{2.9}$$

where

$$D(f,g;h) := \int_a^b h(t)dt \int_a^b h(t)f(t)g(t)dt - \int_a^b h(t)f(t)dt \int_a^b h(t)g(t)dt.$$

Our next result is the application of the Grüss' inequality for the extended beta mappings.

Theorem 2.4. *Let $\sigma_1, \sigma_2, x, y > 0$. Then*

$$\begin{aligned}
&|B_{\sigma_1+\sigma_2}(x+y+1, x+y+1) - B_{\sigma_1}(x+1,x+1)B_{\sigma_2}(y+1,y+1)| \\
&\leq \left[B_{2\sigma_1}(2x+1,2x+1) - B_{\sigma_1}(x+1,x+1)^2\right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \left[B_{2\sigma_1}(2y+1,2y+1) - B_{\sigma_1}(y+1,y+1)^2\right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
&\leq \frac{exp(-4(\sigma_1+\sigma_2)}{4^{x+y+1}}.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.10}$$

Proof. To prove the inequality, it is required to determine the upper and lower bounds of

$$f(t) := t^x(1-t)^x exp\left(-\frac{\sigma_1}{t(1-t)}\right)$$
$$g(t) := t^y(1-t)^y exp\left(-\frac{\sigma_2}{t(1-t)}\right),$$

for $t \in [0,1]$, and $x, y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2 > 0$. Clearly, $f(0) = f(1) = 0$ and $g(0) = g(1) = 0$. Now for $t \in (0,1)$, the logarithmic differentiation of f yields

$$f'(t) = f(t)(1-2t)\left(\frac{xt(1-t)+\sigma_1}{t^2(1-t)^2}\right).$$

Since $f(t) > 0$ and $xt(1-t)+\sigma_1 > 0$ on $t \in (0,1)$, $f'(t) > 0$ for $t > 1/2$ and $f'(t) < 0$ for $t < 1/2$. This implies

$$M = \frac{exp(-4\sigma_1)}{4^x}.$$

Similarly, it can be shown that

$$L = \frac{exp(-4\sigma_2)}{4^y}.$$

Now setting f, g as above and $h(t) = 1$ for all $t \in [0,1]$ in Lemma 2.1 gives (2.10). □

Remark 2.1. *Consider the functions*

$$f(t) = t^x, \quad g(t) = (1-t)^y \quad and \quad h(t) = t^{x_1-1}(1-t)^{y_1-1} exp\left(-\frac{\sigma}{t(1-t)}\right),$$

for $t \in [0,1]$, $x, y, x_1, y_1 > 0$. Clearly $M = L = 1$ and $m = l = 0$. Thus we have the following inequality from Lemma 2.1:

$$
\begin{aligned}
&|B_\sigma(x_1,y_1)B_\sigma(x+x_1,y+y_1)-B_\sigma(x+x_1,y_1)B_\sigma(x_1,y+y_1)|\\
&\leq \left[B_\sigma(x_1,y_1)B_\sigma(2x+x_1,y_1)-B_\sigma^2(x+x_1,y_1)\right]^{\frac{1}{2}}\\
&\quad\times\left[B_\sigma(x_1,y_1)B_\sigma(x_1,2y+y_1)-B_\sigma^2(x_1,y+y_1)\right]^{\frac{1}{2}}\\
&\leq \frac{B_\sigma^2(x_1,y_1)}{4}. \qquad (2.11)
\end{aligned}
$$

Similarly, if f, g and h defined as

$$
f(t):=t^m(1-x)^n,\quad g(t):=t^p(1-t)^q\quad \textit{and}\quad h(t):=t^{\alpha-1}(1-t)^{\beta-1}exp\left(-\frac{\sigma}{t(1-t)}\right),
$$

for $t\in[0,1]$ and $\alpha,\beta,m,n,p,q>0$, then (see [10]) we have

$$
M=\frac{m^m n^n}{(m+n)^{m+n}}\quad \textit{and}\quad L=\frac{p^p q^q}{(p+q)^{p+q}},
$$

hence the inequality

$$
\begin{aligned}
&|B_\sigma(\alpha,\beta)B_\sigma(\alpha+m+p,\beta+n+q)-B_\sigma(\alpha+m,\beta+n)B_\sigma(\alpha+p,\beta+q)|\\
&\leq \left[B_\sigma(\alpha,\beta)B_\sigma(\alpha+2m,\beta+2n)-B_\sigma^2(\alpha+m,\beta+m)\right]^{\frac{1}{2}}\\
&\quad\times\left[B_\sigma(\alpha,\beta)B_\sigma(\alpha+2p,\beta+2q)-B_\sigma^2(\alpha+p,\beta+q)\right]^{\frac{1}{2}}\\
&\leq \frac{B_\sigma^2(\alpha,\beta)}{4}.\frac{m^m n^n}{(m+n)^{m+n}}.\frac{p^p q^q}{(p+q)^{p+q}}, \qquad (2.12)
\end{aligned}
$$

follows from Lemma 2.1 .

It is evident from Theorem 2.1, the inequalities (2.11) *and* (2.12)*, that the results discussed in [1, 10] for classical beta functions can be replicated for the extended beta functions. Hence, we can restrict our work which have some influence of the parameter σ, like as Theorem 2.2, Theorem 2.5 . However, Theorem 2.1 and Theorem 2.3 are useful to get the inequalities for ECHF and EGHF in the next section.*

2.2. **Inequalities for ECHF and EGHF.** Along with the integral inequalities mention in the previous section, the following result of Biernacki and Krzyż [7] will be used in the sequel.

Lemma 2.2. *[7] Consider the power series $f(x)=\sum_{n\geq 0}a_n x^n$ and $g(x)=\sum_{n\geq 0}b_n x^n$, where $a_n\in\mathbb{R}$ and $b_n>0$ for all n. Further suppose that both series converge on $|x|<r$. If the sequence $\{a_n/b_n\}_{n\geq 0}$ is increasing (or decreasing), then the function $x\mapsto f(x)/g(x)$ is also increasing (or decreasing) on $(0,r)$.*

We note that the above lemma still holds when both f and g are even, or both are odd functions.

Theorem 2.5. *Let $b\geq 0$ and $d,c>0$. Then follwoing assertions for ECHF are true.*

(i) *For $c\geq d$, the function $x\mapsto \Phi_\sigma(b;c;x)/\Phi_\sigma(b;d;x)$ is increasing on $(0,\infty)$.*

(ii) *For $c \geq d$, we have $d\Phi_\sigma(b+1;c+1;x)\Phi_\sigma(b;d;x) \geq c\Phi_\sigma(b;c;x)\Phi_\sigma(b+1;d+1;x)$.*

(iii) *The function $x \mapsto \Phi_\sigma(b;c;x)$ is log-convex on $\mathbb{R}$.*

(iv) *The function $\sigma \mapsto \Phi_\sigma(b;c;x)$ is log-convex on $(0,\infty)$ for fixed $x > 0$.*

(v) *Let $\delta > 0$. Then the function*

$$b \mapsto \frac{B(b,c)\Phi_\sigma(b+\delta;c;x)}{B(b+\delta,c)\Phi_\sigma(b;c;x)}$$

is decreasing on $(0,\infty)$ for fixed $c, x > 0$.

Proof. From the definition of ECHF, it follows that

$$\frac{\Phi_\sigma(b;c;x)}{\Phi_\sigma(b;d;x)} = \frac{\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\alpha_n(c)x^n}{\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\alpha_n(d)x^n}, \quad \text{where} \quad \alpha_n(t) := \frac{B_\sigma(b+n,t-b)}{B(b,t-b)n!}. \tag{2.13}$$

If we denote $f_n = \alpha_n(c)/\alpha_n(d)$, then

$$\begin{aligned} f_n - f_{n+1} &= \frac{\alpha_n(c)}{\alpha_n(d)} - \frac{\alpha_{n+1}(c)}{\alpha_{n+1}(d)} \\ &= \frac{B(b,d-b)}{B(b,c-b)}\left(\frac{B_\sigma(b+n,c-b)}{B_\sigma(b+n,d-b)} - \frac{B_\sigma(b+n+1,c-b)}{B_\sigma(b+n+1,d-b)}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Now set $x := b+n$; $y := d-b$; $x_1 := b+n+1$ and $y_1 := c-b$ in (2.1). Since $(x-x_1)(y-y_1) = c-d \geq 0$, it follows from Theorem 2.1 that

$$\frac{B_\sigma(b+n,c-b)}{B_\sigma(b+n,d-b)} \leq \frac{B_\sigma(b+n+1,c-b)}{B_\sigma(b+n+1,d-b)},$$

which is equivalent to say that the sequence $\{f_n\}$ is increasing and appealing to Lemma 2.2 , we can conclude that $x \mapsto \Phi_\sigma(b;c;x)/\Phi_\sigma(b;d;x)$ is increasing on $(0,\infty)$.

To prove (ii), we need to recall the following identity from [9, Page 594] :

$$\frac{d^n}{dx^n}\Phi_\sigma(b;c;x) = \frac{(b)_n}{(c)_n}\Phi_\sigma(b+n;c+n;x). \tag{2.14}$$

Now the increasing properties of $x \mapsto \Phi_\sigma(b;c;x)/\Phi_\sigma(b;d;x)$ is equiavlent to

$$\frac{d}{dx}\left(\frac{\Phi_\sigma(b;c;x)}{\Phi_\sigma(b;d;x)}\right) \geq 0. \tag{2.15}$$

This together with (2.14) implies

$$\begin{aligned} &\Phi'_\sigma(b;c;x)\Phi_\sigma(b;d;x) - \Phi_\sigma(b;c;x)\Phi'_\sigma(b;d;x) \\ &= \frac{b}{c}\Phi_\sigma(b+1;c+1;x)\Phi_\sigma(b;d;x) - \frac{b}{d}\Phi_\sigma(b;c;x)\Phi_\sigma(b+1;d+1;x) \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

A simple computation prove the assertion.

The log-convexity of $x \mapsto \Phi_\sigma(b;c;x)$ can be proved by using the integral representation of ECHF as given in (1.3) and by appealing to the Hölder-Rogers inequality for integrals as follows:

$$\Phi_\sigma(b;c;\alpha x+(1-\alpha)y) = \frac{1}{B(b,c-b)}\int_0^1 t^{b-1}(1-t)^{c-b-1}exp\left(\alpha xt+(1-\alpha)yt-\tfrac{\sigma}{t(1-t)}\right)dt$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&= \frac{1}{B(b,c-b)}\int_0^1 \Bigg[\left(t^{b-1}(1-t)^{c-b-1}exp\left(xt-\tfrac{\sigma}{t(1-t)}\right)\right)^{\alpha} \\
&\qquad\qquad \times\left(t^{b-1}(1-t)^{c-b-1}exp\left(yt-\tfrac{\sigma}{t(1-t)}\right)\right)^{1-\alpha}\Bigg]dt \\
&\leq \left[\frac{1}{B(b,c-b)}\int_0^1 t^{b-1}(1-t)^{c-b-1}exp\left(xt-\tfrac{\sigma}{t(1-t)}\right)dt\right]^{\alpha} \\
&\qquad \times\left[\frac{1}{B(b,c-b)}\int_0^1 t^{b-1}(1-t)^{c-b-1}exp\left(yt-\tfrac{\sigma}{t(1-t)}\right)dt\right]^{1-\alpha} \\
&= \left(\Phi_\sigma(b;c;x)\right)^{\alpha}\left(\Phi_\sigma(b;c;y)\right)^{1-\alpha},
\end{aligned}
$$

here $x, y \geq 0$ and $\alpha \in [0,1]$. This prove that $x \mapsto \Phi_\sigma(b;c;x)$ is log-convex for $x \geq 0$. For the case $x < 0$, the assertion follows immediately from the identity (see.[9, Page 596]):

$$\Phi_\sigma(b;c;-x) = e^{-x}\Phi_\sigma(c-b;c;x).$$

It is known that the infinite sum of log-convex functions is also log-convex. Thus, the log-convexity of $\sigma \mapsto \Phi_\sigma(b;c;x)$ is equivalent to show that $\sigma \mapsto B_\sigma(b+n,c-b)$ is log-convex on $(0,\infty)$ and for all non-negative integer n. From Theorem 2.2 , it is clear that $\sigma \mapsto B_\sigma(b+n,c-b)$ is log-convex for $c > b > 0$ and hence (iv) is true.

Let $b' \geq b$. Set $p(t) := t^{b'-1}(1-t)^{c-b'-1}exp\left(xt-\frac{\sigma}{t(1-t)}\right)$,

$$f(t) := \left(\frac{t}{1-t}\right)^{b-b'} \quad \text{and} \quad g(t) := \left(\frac{t}{1-t}\right)^{\delta}.$$

Then using the integral representation (1.3) of ECHF, we have

$$\frac{B(b,c)\Phi_\sigma(b+\delta;c;x)}{B(b+\delta,c)\Phi_\sigma(b;c;x)} - \frac{B(b',c)\Phi_\sigma(b'+\delta;c;x)}{B(b'+\delta,c)\Phi_\sigma(b';c;x)} = \frac{\int_0^1 f(t)g(t)p(t)dt}{\int_0^1 f(t)p(t)dt} - \frac{\int_0^1 g(t)p(t)dt}{\int_0^1 p(t)dt}. \tag{2.16}$$

It is easy to determine that for $b' \geq b$, the function f is decreasing while as $\delta \geq 0$, the function g is increasing. Since p is non-negative for $t \in [0,1]$, by the reveres Chebyshev's integral inequality (2.2), it follows that

$$\int_0^1 p(t)f(t)dt \int_0^1 p(t)g(t)dt \leq \int_0^1 p(t)dt \int_0^1 p(t)f(t)g(t)dt. \tag{2.17}$$

This together with (2.16) implies

$$\frac{B(b,c)\Phi_\sigma(b+\delta;c;x)}{B(b+\delta,c)\Phi_\sigma(b;c;x)} - \frac{B(b',c)\Phi_\sigma(b'+\delta;c;x)}{B(b'+\delta,c)\Phi_\sigma(b';c;x)} \geq 0,$$

which is equivalent to say that the function

$$b \mapsto \frac{B(b,c)\Phi_\sigma(b+\delta;c;x)}{B(b+\delta,c)\Phi_\sigma(b;c;x)}$$

is decreasing on $(0,\infty)$. □

Remark 2.2. *In particular, the decreasing properties of*

$$b \mapsto \frac{B(b,c)\Phi_\sigma(b+\delta;c;x)}{B(b+\delta,c)\Phi_\sigma(b;c;x)}$$

is equivalent to the inequality

$$\Phi_\sigma^2(b+\delta;c;x) \geq \frac{B^2(b+\delta,c)}{B(b+2\delta,c)B(b,c)}\Phi_\sigma(b+2\delta;c;x)\Phi_\sigma(b;c;x). \tag{2.18}$$

Now define

$$f(\delta) := \frac{B^2(b+\delta,c)}{B(b+2\delta,c)B(b,c)} = \frac{(\Gamma(b+\delta))^2\Gamma(b+2\delta+c)\Gamma(b+c)}{(\Gamma(b+c+\delta))^2\Gamma(b+2\delta)\Gamma(b)}.$$

A logarithmic differentiation of f yields

$$\frac{f'(\delta)}{f(\delta)} = 2\psi(b+\delta) + 2\psi(b+2\delta+c) - 2\psi(b+c+\delta) - 2\psi(b+2\delta),$$

where $y \mapsto \psi(y) = \Gamma'(y)/\Gamma(y)$ is the digamma function which is increasing on $(0,\infty)$ and it has the series form

$$\psi(y) = -\gamma + \sum_{k\geq 0}\left(\frac{1}{k} - \frac{1}{y+k}\right).$$

This implies

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{f'(\delta)}{f(\delta)} &= 2\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\left(\frac{1}{b+c+\delta+k} - \frac{1}{b+c+2\delta+k}\right) + 2\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\left(\frac{1}{b+\delta+k} - \frac{1}{b+2\delta+k}\right)\\ &= 2\delta\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\left(\frac{1}{(b+c+\delta+k)(b+c+2\delta+k)} - \frac{1}{(b+\delta+k)(b+2\delta+k)}\right)\\ &= -2\delta\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\frac{c(2b+3\delta+2k+c)}{(b+c+\delta+k)(b+c+2\delta+k)(b+\delta+k)(b+2\delta+k)} \leq 0.\end{aligned}$$

Thus, f is decreasing functions of δ on $[0,\infty)$ and $f(\delta) \leq f(0) = 1$.

Interestingly, for $\sigma = 0$ the inequality in (2.18) reduce to the Turàn type inequality of classical confluent hypergeometric functions as

$${}_1F_1^2(b+\delta;c;x) \geq \frac{B^2(b+\delta,c)}{B(b+2\delta,c)B(b,c)}{}_1F_1(b+2\delta;c;x){}_1F_1(b;c;x). \tag{2.19}$$

By the fact that

$$\frac{B^2(b+\delta,c)}{B(b+2\delta,c)B(b,c)} \leq 1$$

it can be conclude that the inequality (2.19) is an improvement of the inequality given in [11, Theorem 4(b)] for fixed $c, x > 0$. However, our result does not expound the other cases in [11, Theorem 4(b)].

Now following the remark given in [11, Page-390] for integer δ and $b = \delta + a$ in (2.19), will also improve the inequality [6, Theorem 1, Corollary 2] for classical confluent hypergeometric functions.

Our next result is on the extended Gaussian hypergeometric functions(EGHF).

Theorem 2.6. *Let $b \geq 0$ and $d, c > 0$. Then follwoing assertions for EGHF are true.*

(i) *For $c \geq d$, the function $x \mapsto F_\sigma(a, b; c; x)/F_\sigma(a, b; d; x)$ is increasing on $(0, 1)$.*

(ii) *For $c \geq d$, we have*

$$dF_\sigma(a+1, b+1; c+1; x)F_\sigma(a, b; d; x) \geq cF_\sigma(a+1, b+1; d+1; x)F_\sigma(a, b; c; x).$$

(iii) *The function $\sigma \mapsto F_\sigma(a, b; c; x)$ is log-convex on $(0, \infty)$ for fixed $b > 0, c > 0$ and $x \in (0, 1)$.*

(iv) *The function $a \mapsto F_\sigma(a, b; c; x)$ is log-convex on $(0, \infty)$ and for fixed $x \in (0, 1)$.*

Proof. The case (i)-(iii) can be proved by following the proof of Theorem 2.5 by considering the series form (1.4) and an integral representation (1.5) of EGHF , We omit the details.

From a result of Karp and Sitnik [11], we know that if we let

$$f(a, x) = \sum_{n\geq 0} f_n \frac{(a)_n}{n!} x^n,$$

where f_n (and is independent of a) and we suppose that $a' > a > 0$, $\delta > 0$, then the function

$$f(a+\delta, x)f(b, x) - f(b+\delta, x)f(a, x) = \sum_{m\geq 2} \phi_m x^m$$

has negative power series coefficient $\phi_m < 0$ so that $a \mapsto f(a, x)$ is strictly log-convex for $x > 0$ if the sequence $\{f_n/f_{n-1}\}$ is increasing. In what follows we shall use this result for the function $F_\sigma(a, b; c; x)$. For this let

$$f_n = \frac{B_\sigma(b+n, c-b)}{B(b, c-b)}.$$

Thus, to prove (iv), it is enough to show that the sequence $d_n = f_n/f_{n-1}$ is decreasing. Clearly

$$d_n - d_{n-1} = \frac{B_\sigma(b+n, c-b)}{B_\sigma(b+n-1, c-b)} - \frac{B_\sigma(b+n-1, c-b)}{B_\sigma(b+n-2, c-b)}.$$

Now if we replace x_1, y_1, x_2, y_2 in (2.7) by $x_1 = b+n$, $x_2 = b+n-2$, and $y_1 = y_2 = c-b$, then it follows that $d_n \geq d_{n-1}$. Hence the conclusion. □

3. Conclusion

This article proves several properties of the extended beta functions resembles with the classical beta functions. Few of those properties are key to establish inequalities for ECHF and EGHF. Using classical integral inequalities, Turán type and reverse Turán type inequality for ECHF and EGHF are also given.

List of abbreviations

ECHF: Extended confluent hypergeometric functions,
EGHF: Extended Gaussian hypergeometric functions.

Competing interests. The authors declare that they have no competing interests

Authors details. Assistant Professor, Department of Mathematics and Statistics, Collage of Science, King Faisal University, Al-Hasa 31982, Hofuf, Saudi Arabia. smondal@kfu.edu.sa

Acknowledgements. The work was supported by the Deanship of Scientific Research, King Faisal University, Saudi Arabia through the project no- 150244.

References

[1] Agarwal, R P, Elezović N, Pečarić, J: On some inequalities for beta and gamma functions via some classical inequalities, J. Inequal. Appl. , no. 5, 593–613 (2005).

[2] Baricz, A: Turán type inequalities for hypergeometric functions, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **136**, no. 9, 3223–3229 (2008).

[3] Baricz, A: Bounds for modified Bessel functions of the first and second kinds, *Proc. Edinb. Math. Soc.* 53, 575–599(2010).

[4] Baricz, A, Pogány, T K: Functional inequalities for modified Struve functions, *Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Sect. A* 144, 891–904 (2014).

[5] Baricz, A, Pogány, T K: Functional inequalities for modified Struve functions II, *Math. Inequal. Appl.* 17, 1387–1398 (2014).

[6] Barnard, R W, Gordy, M B, Richards, K C: A note on Turán type and mean inequalities for the Kummer function, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **349** , no. 1, 259–263 (2009).

[7] Biernacki, M, Krzyż, J: On the monotonity of certain functionals in the theory of analytic functions, *Ann. Univ. Mariae Curie-Skłodowska. Sect. A.* 9 135–147 (1955).

[8] Chaudhry, M A, Qadir, A, Rafique, M, Zubair, S M: Extension of Euler's beta function, J. Comput. Appl. Math. **78** , no. 1, 19–32 (1997).

[9] Chaudhry, M A, Qadir, A, Srivastava, H M, Paris, R B: Extended hypergeometric and confluent hypergeometric functions, Appl. Math. Comput. **159** , no. 2, 589–602(2004).

[10] Dragomir, S S, Agarwal, R P, Barnett, N S: Inequalities for beta and gamma functions via some classical and new integral inequalities, J. Inequal. Appl. **5** , no. 2, 103–165 (2000).

[11] Karp, D, Sitnik, S M: Log-convexity and log-concavity of hypergeometric-like functions, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **364** , no. 2, 384–394 (2010).

[12] Mitrinović, D S: *Analytic inequalities*, Springer, New York, (1970).

[13] Mitrinović, D S, Pečarić, J E, Fink, A M: *Classical and new inequalities in analysis*, Mathematics and its Applications (East European Series), 61, Kluwer Acad. Publ., Dordrecht, (1993).

[14] Miller, A R: Remarks on a generalized beta function, J. Comput. Appl. Math. **100** , no. 1, 23–32 (1998).

[15] Turán, P: On the zeros of the polynomials of Legendre, Časopis Pěst. Mat. Fys. **75** , 113–122 (1950).

Saiful R. Mondal, Department of Mathematics and Statistics, Collage of Science, King Faisal University, Al-Hasa 31982, Hofuf, Saudi Arabia.

E-mail address: smondal@kfu.edu.sa